

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,471	02/28/2002	Gregory L. Snitchler	05770-114001 / 6995 AMSC-474	
26161	7590 07/08/2005		EXAM	INER
FISH & RICHARDSON PC			BARRERA, RAMON M	
225 FRANKL	IN ST			
BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2832	

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/085,471	SNITCHLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ramon M. Barrera	2832				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed swill be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ap	o <u>ril 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	· · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) □ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					
Datast and Tandamark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/085,471

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In Claim 1, on line 10, the recitation "being spaced from and in radial relation to the axis of the rotor assembly" is functional, i.e., there is insufficient structure to perform the recited function. The rotor assembly was previously not positively recited. Claims 2-11 inherit the defect in their parent claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Laskaris.
 Laskaris discloses superconducting coil 36 with support member (42,52).

Application/Control Number: 10/085,471 Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

4. Claims 1-4, 7-8, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kameoka, et al.

Kameoka discloses superconducting coil 1, and support member 3 with thermally insulative material 7 and rounded member 8. (See Claims 6 or 20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5-6 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kameoka as applied to claims 1-4 above, and further in view of Maeda, et al..

Kameoka discloses the claimed invention except that Kameoka disclosed circular-shaped windings instead of windings having a racetrack shape. Maeda, et al., shows that a racetrack-shaped winding was an equivalent structure known in the art. Therefore, because these two superconducting windings were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute a racetrack shaped winding in Kameoka.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 4/4/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserts neither Laskaris nor Kameoka describes or suggests a superconducting coil assembly including a superconducting winding being spaced from

Art Unit: 2832

and in radial relation to the axis of the rotor assembly, as recited in amended independent claim 1. The Examiner agrees with this statement except for "as recited in amended independent claim 1". Claiming the superconducting winding as "configured to be mounted to the portion of the rotor assembly" and "at least one support member extending across the bore and configured to be mechanically coupled to the portion of the rotor assembly" generates an inconsistency as to whether the rotor forms part of applicant's invention.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramon M Barrera whose telephone number is
 (571)272-1987. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from
 11 to 5PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

Application/Control Number: 10/085,471

Art Unit: 2832

examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571)272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Ramon M Barrera **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2832

Page 5

rmb